## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

DEUTSCHE
COMPANY
MORGAN S
INC. TRUST
PASS-THRO
SERIES 200

vs.

SFR INVES
DESERT GF
ASSOCIATI

DEUTSCHE BANK NATIONAL TRUST )
COMPANY, AS TRUSTEE FOR )
MORGAN STANLEY ABS CAPITAL I )
INC. TRUST 2006-HE8 MORTGAGE )
PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, )
SERIES 2006-HE8, )
Plaintiff, )
vs. )

Case No.: 2:17-cv-00259-GMN-NJK

**ORDER** 

SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC;
DESERT GREENS HOMEOWNERS
ASSOCIATION,
Defendants.

Pending before the Court is a Motion for Demand for Security of Costs, (ECF No. 8), filed by Defendant SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC ("Defendant"). For the reasons set forth below, the Motion is granted.

The Ninth Circuit recognizes that "federal district courts have inherent power to require plaintiffs to post security for costs." *Simulnet E. Assocs. v. Ramada Hotel Operating Co.*, 37 F.3d 573, 574 (9th Cir. 1994). Under Nevada law, "[w]hen a plaintiff in an action resides out of the State, or is a foreign corporation, security for the costs and charges which may be awarded against such plaintiff may be required by the defendant." NRS § 18.130(1). "After the lapse of 30 days from the service of notice that security is required . . . upon proof thereof, and that no undertaking as required has been filed, the court or judge may order the action to be dismissed." NRS § 18.130(4). It is the policy of the United States District Court for the District of Nevada to enforce the requirements of

| 1  | NRS § 18.130 in diversity actions. See, e.g., Feagins v. Trump Org., No. 2:11-cv-01121-      |
|----|--|
| 2  | GMN, 2012 WL 925027, at *1 (D. Nev. Mar. 19, 2012).  |
| 3  | Because Plaintiff Deutsche Bank National Trust Company, as trustee for Morgan                |
| 4  | Stanley ABS Capital 1 Inc. Trust, Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates Series 2006-HE8         |
| 5  | ("Plaintiff") resides outside of Nevada, (Compl. ¶ 4, ECF No. 1), the Court finds that it is |
| 6  | appropriate to require Plaintiff to post a security bond of \$500.00 in this matter pursuant |
| 7  | to NRS § 18.130.   |
| 8  | IV. <u>CONCLUSION</u>  |
| 9  | IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Motion Demanding Security of Costs, (ECF                       |
| 10 | No. 8), is <b>GRANTED</b> .  |
| 11 | IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff must submit a bond pursuant to this                     |
| 12 | Order in the amount of \$500.00 as to Defendant. Failure to do so within thirty days of      |
| 13 | the filing date of this Order shall constitute grounds for dismissal.                        |
| 14 | <b>DATED</b> this9 day of May, 2017.   |
| 15 |  |
| 16 |  |
| 17 | Gloria M. Navarro, Chief Judge   |
| 18 | United States District Judge   |
| 19 |  |
| 20 |  |
| 21 |  |
| 22 |  |
| 23 |  |
| 24 |  |
| 25 |  |
|    |  |